POTENTIAL HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS OF URANIUM MINE WASTES

A Report to the Congress of the United States in Response to Public Law 95-604

Volume 2 of 3 Volumes

June 10, 1983

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Radiation Programs Washington, D.C. 20460

										Page
	1.4.2 State Re	gulations	•	•						1-42
	1.4.2.1	Colorado		•	•	•	•	•	•	1-45
	1.4.2.2	New Mexic	o .	•	•	•	•	•	•	1-47
	1.4.2.3	Texas		•	•	•	•	•	•	1-49
	1.4.2.4	Utah		•	•	•		•	•	1-49
	1.4.2.5	Washingto	n .	•	•		•	•	•	1-50
	1.4.2.6	Wyoming			•		•	•	•	1-51
	1.5 References	•	• •	•	•		•	÷		1-53
2.0	Inventory of Ura	anium Min	es .	• ~	•	•	•		•	2-1-
	2.1 References			•		• • *	•	•	• **	2-16
3.0	Potential Source	s of Cont	aminants	to th	e Env	ironme	ent ar	nd .		
	Man			•	•		•	•	•	3-1
	3.1 Background	Concentra	tions of	Radio	nucli	des ai	nd Tra	ace		
	Metals	ere a competition	ment to the material	4.5	K 11	r e veniency	Dark to	• 11	• .,	3-1-
	3.1.1. Natur	al.ly. Occu	rring Rad	dionuc	lides	•		•	• .	. 3-1.
	3.1.2 Stable	e Element	s	•	•	• .	•	. •	•	3-6
	3.2 Water-Rela	ted Aspec	ts of Ura	anium 1	Mining	3	•	•	•	3-11
	3.2.1. Previou	usand. On	going Hyd	irol ag	jc and	d Wate	er, Qua	lity:		
	Studie	s Related	to Uran	ium Mi	ning.	•	•	•	•.	3-11
	3.2.2 Mine W	ater Mana	gement	•	•	•		•	•	3-13
	3.2.3 Water	Quality E	ffects of	f Mine	Wate	r Disc	charge	<u> </u>	•	3-23
	3.2.3.1 B	ehavior o	f Contam	inants	in t	he Aqı	jeous			
,	Ει	nvironmen	t .	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-23
	3.2.3	.1.1 Dil	ution and	1 Susp	ended	Sedir	nent			
		Tra	nsport	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-25
	3.2.3	.1.2 Sor	ption and	d Deso	rptio	n	•	•	•	3-25
	3.2.3	.1.3 Pre	cipitatio	n		•		•	•	3-28
	3.2.3	.1.4 Bio	logical /	Assimi	latio	n and				
		Deg	radation	•	•			•	•	3-30
	3.2.3	.1.5 Com	plexation	١.	•	•	•	•	•	3-31
	3.2.3.2	Results o	f Field S	Studie	s in 1	Uranio	mL			
	·	Minina Ar	036							3-32

										Page
	3.2.3.2	.1 Colorad	do		•		•			3-32
	3.2.3.2	.2 Wyoming	g .	•			•		•	3-34
	3.2.3.2	.3 Texas	•			•	•	•	•	3-38
	3.2.3.2	.4 New Mex	xico	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-39
	3.2.3.3 Summ	ary .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-43
3.3	Surface Mining .		•	•	•		•	•	•	3-44
	3.3.1 Solid Waste	S	•	•	•	•	• `	•	•	3-44
	3.3.1.1 Over	burden Pile	es	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-45
	3.3.1.2 Ore	Stockpiles	•	•	•		•	•	•	3-54
	3.3.1.3 Sub-	Ore Piles	••	•	•	•	•	•	• •	3-60
	3.3.1.4 Recl	amation of	0verb	urden	Piles	;	•	•	•	3-62
	3.3.2. Mine Water.	Dischange-	• 4 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-63
	.3.3.2.1 Data	Sources		•	•		•	•	•	3-63
	3.3.2.21 - Quan	titÿ∷and⊗Qu	uallity.	;of∷¤'	ischai	ge:		·		3-64
	3.3.3. Hydraulic a	nd Water Qu	uality	Effe	cts for	Suri	face?			
	Mine Discha	rge .	• •	• -	• . ,	•	•		•	3-68
	3.3.3.1 Runof	f and Floo	ding i	n the	Model	Surt	face			
	Mine	Area 🐷	•	•	•		•	•	•	3-68
	3.3.3.1.1	Study App	proach	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-68
	3.3.3.1.2	Descript	ion of	Area	•	•	•		•	3-70
	3.3.3.1.3	Method o	f Stud	у	•	•	•	•	•	3~72
	3.3.3.1.4	Discussio	on of i	Result	ts	•	•	•		5-77
	3.3.3.2 Impac	ts of Seepa	age on	Grour	ndwa te	r	•	•	•	3-89
	3.3.4 Gases and	Dusts from	Mining	g Acti	ivitie	28	•	•	•	3-93
	3.3.4.1 Dusts	and Fumes	•	•	•	•	•		•	3-93
	3.3.4.2 Radon	-222 from	the Pi	t, Sto	orage	Piles	, and	Ł		
	Ore H	andling	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-99
3.4	Underground Mining		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-107
	3.4.1 Solid Wast	es .	•	•	•		•		•	3-107
	3.4.1.1 Waste	Rock Piles	s .	•	•		•		•	3-109
	3.4.1.2 Ore S	tockpiles	•	•	•	•	•		•	3-110
	3.4.1.3 Sub-0	re Piles	•	•	•	•	•		•	3-112

٠. ٠

		Page
	3.4.2 Mine Water Discharge	3-113
	3.4.2.1 Data Sources	3-113
	3.4.2.2 Quality and Quantity of Discharge	3-114
	3.4.3 Hydraulic and Water Quality Effects of Underground	
	Mine Discharge	3-120
	3.4.3.1 Runoff and Flooding in the Model Underground	
	Mine Area	3-120
	3.4.3.1.1 Study Approach	3-120
	3.4.3.1.2 Description of Area	3-122
	3.4.3.1.3 Estimate of Sub-basin Flood Flow	3-124
	3.4.3.1.4 Prediction of Sub-basin Water Quality .	3-132
		3-149
	3.4.4 Gases and Dusts from Mining Activities	3-155
•	3.4.4.17 Radon-222 in Mines Exhaus to Air Market and Air Market an	3-155
	3.4.4.2 Aboveground Radon-222 Sources	3-157
	3.4.4.3 Dusts and Fumes	3 - 159
3.5	In Situ Leach Mining	3-168
	3.5.1 Solid Wastes	3-169 "
	3.5.2 Associated Wastewater	3-172
	3.5.3 Airborne Emissions	3-174
	3.5.4 Excursion of Lixiviant	3-178
	3.5.5 Restoration and Reclamation	3-179
3.6	Other Sources	3-185
	3.6.1 Mineral Exploration	3-185
	3.6.1.1 Environmental Considerations	3-187
	3.6.1.2 Radon Losses from Drill Holes	3-192
	3.6.1.3 Groundwater	3-193
	3.6.1.4 Fumes	3-193
	3.6.1.5 Model Drilling	3-194
	3.6.2 Precipitation Runoff from Uranium Mines	3-194
3.7	Inactive Mines	3-204
	3.7.1 Inactive Surface Mines	3-204
	3.7.1.1 Waste Rock Piles	3-213

).

			raye
	3.7.1.2 Radon-222 from the Mine Area		3-216
	3.7.1.3 Land Surface Gamma Radiation	•	3-222
	3.7.2 Inactive Underground Mines		3-227
	3.7.2.1 Waste Rock Piles		3-229
	3.7.2.2 Radon-222 from the Mine Area	•	3-232
	3.7.2.3 Land Surface Gamma Radiation	•	3-237
	3.8 References	•	3-242
4.0	Description of Model Mines		4-1
	4.1 Surface Mine	•	4-1
	4.2 Underground Mine		4-4
	4.3 In Situ Leach Mine		4-7
	4.4 Inactive Surface Mine		4-8
	4.5° Inactive Underground Mine	•	4-9
5.0:	. Potential Pathways W		5 -1
	"5.1". General William Communication of the second of the	• •	5-1
	5.1.1. Vegetation	• .	5-1
	5.1.2 Wildlife	•	5-1
	5.1.3 Land Use	•	5-2
	5.1.4 Population Near Mining Areas	•	5-2
	5.1.5 Population Statistics of Humans and Beef Cattle	•	5-12
	5.2 Prominent Environmental Pathways and Parameters for		
	Aqueous Releases		5-12
,	5.2.1 Individual Committed Dose Equivalent Assessment	•	5-13
	5.2.2 Collective (Population) Dose Equivalent Assessment	•	5-15
	5.3 Prominent Environmental Pathways and Parameters for		
	Atmospheric Releases	•	5-16
	5.3.1 Individual Committed Dose Equivalent Assessment	•	5-16
	5.3.2 Collective (Population) Dose Equivalent Assessment	•	5-18
	5.4 Mine Wastes Used in the Construction of Habitable		
	Structures		5-19
	5.5 References		5-20
6.0	Health and Environmental Effects	•	6-1
	6.1 Health: Effects and Radiation Dostmetry Market 1985		5 - 1.

		Page
	6.1.1 Radioactive Airborne Emissions	6-1
	6.1.2 Nonradioactive Airborne Emissions	6-26
	6.1.2.1 Combustion Products	6-26
	6.1.2.2 Nonradioactive Gases	6-28
	6.1.2.3 Trace Metals and Particulates in the Form	
	of Dust	6-28
	6.1.3 Radioactive Aquatic Emissions	6-35 de
	6.1.4 Nonradioactive Aquatic Emissions	6-42
	6.1.5 Solid Wastes	6-44
	6.1.5.1 Radium-226 Content	6-44
	6.1.5.2 Estimates of Potential Risk	6-45
	6.1.5.3 Using Radium Bearing Wastes in the Construction	
	of Habitable Structures	6-46
	6.1.5.3.17 Use of Uranium Mine; Wastes	6-48
	6.2 Environmental Effects	6-48
	6.2.1 General Considerations	6-48
	6.2.2 Effects of Mine Dewatering	6-52
	6.2.3 Erosion of Mined Lands and Associated Wastes	6-54 .
	6.2.4 Land Disturbance from Exploratory and	
	Development Drilling	6 -56 .
	6.2.5 Land Disturbance from Mining	6-59
	6.2.5.1 Underground Mines	6-59
,	6.2.5.2 Surface Mines	6-59
	6.2.6 Retirement Phase	6-59
	6.3 References	6-73
7.0	Summary and Recommendations	7-1
	7.1 Overview	7-1
	7.2 Sources and Concentrations of Contaminants	7-1
	7.2.1 Surface and Underground Mines	7-1
	7.2.2 In Situ Leach Mines	7-7
	7.2.3 Uranium Exploration	7-8
	7.3 Exposure Pathways	7-8
	7.4 Potential Health Effects	. 7-9

												Page
7	.4.1	Radioaci	tive Air	rborne	Émis	sions	; .		•			7-9
7	.4.2	Nonradio	pactive	Airbo	rne E	missi	ions					7-13
7	.4.3	Radioac	tive Aqu	Jeous	Emiss	ions						7-13
7	.4.4	Nonradio	pactive	Aqueo	us Em	issic	ns					7-15
7	. 4. 5	Solid Wa		·		•						7-16
7.5	Env	ironmenta	al Impad	ts								7-16
7	.5.1	Land and	d Water	Conta	minat	ion						7-17
7	.5.2	Effects	of Mine	e Dewa	terin	ıg						7-22
7	.5.3	Erosion	of Mine	ed Lan	ds an	d Ass	ociat	ted W	astes			7-22
7	.5.4	Explora	tory and	d Deve	lopme	nt Dr	illir	ng	, , v			7-23
7	.5.5	Undergro	ound Mir	ning			٠.		• .,	. •		7-23
` 7	.5.6	Surface	Mining			•			•	•	•	7-24
∘7.∶6	`∵R'eg	ulatory (Perspect	tive					•			7-25
7.7	Con	clusions	and. Rec	commen	datio	กรระบั	e	~; <u>,</u> †		١,		7-25
7	.7.1	.Conclus	ions "		. •	• • •	٠,٠,	,			• • • • • •	7-26
	7.7.	1.1., Sal:	id Waste	es	,•.		• .,		•			. 7-26
	7.7.	1.2 Airl	orne Et	fluen	ts .	•	•					7-26
	7.7.	1.3. Wate	enborne.	Eff1u	ents.	. G. 2					••.	7-27
	7.7.	1.4 Exp	loratory	/ and	Devel	opmen	it. Dri	illin	g .			7-27
7	.7.2	Recommen	ndations	to €	ongre	SSOV						7-28
7.8	Oth	er Findia	ngs .			•			•		•	7-28
7.9	Ref	erences .	•		•	•	•		•			7-29
Appendix	es (Se	ee Volume	3)									
Α.	Sumi	mary of I	ederal	Laws	Poten	tiall	y Afi	fecti	ng Ur	aniun	ı Minir	ıg
₿.	Fed	eral Wate	er Progr	ams a	nd Ri	ghts	Activ	/itie	s			
С.	Con	gressiona	ally App	roved	Comp	acts	that	Арро	rtion	Wate	r	
D.	Sta	te Laws,	Regulat	ions,	and	Guide	s for	· Ura	nium l	Minir	ıg	
Ε.	Act	ive Urani	ium Mine	s in	the U	nited	Stat	es				
F.	Inac	ctive Ura	anium Mi	nes i	n the	Unit	ed St	ates				
G.	Gene	eral Obse	ervation	s of	Urani	um Mi	ne Si	tes	in Co	lorac	lo,	
	New	Mexicos	Texas√	and W	nimov	a. e. 16						

- H. Influence of Mine Drainage on Seepage to Groundwater and Surface Water Outflow
- I. Computation of Mass Emission Factors for Wind Erosion
- J. Aquatic Dosimetry and Health Effects Models and Parameter Values
- K. Airborne Pathway Modeling
- L. Health Risk Assessment Methodology

FIGURES

		Page
1.1	Uranium mining regions in the western United States	1-4
1.2	The percent of \$50 U ₃ 0 ₈ reserves located in the principal	
	mining states	1-8
1.3	The percent of \$50 $U_3^0_8$ reserves located in the various.	
	mining regions	1-9
1.4	Artist's conception of open pit mining operation and	
	support facilities	1-13
1.5	Generalized underground mine showing modified room and	
	pillar method of mining	1-17
1.6	Diagrams of some common injection-recovery well patterns	
	usedmin uranium incsitumleachemining of Agreement of the second	1-26
2.1	Location of actives and inactive uranium mines and principal 🗸 🐇	
	uranium mining districts in Colorado	2-6
	Location of active and inactive uranium mines and principal	٠,
	uranium mining districts in the Uravan Mineral Belt of	
	western Colorado	2-7
2.3	Location of active and inactive uranium mines in the Grants	
	Mineral Belt and other areas of New Mexico	2-8
$\hat{A}_{\bullet}\hat{A}_{\bullet}$	Location of active, inactive, and proposed surface and in	
,	situ uranium mines in Texas	2-9
2.5	Location of uranium mines and mining districts in Utah	2-10
2.6	Location of uranium mines and principal uranium mining	
	districts in southeastern Utah	2-11
2.7	Location of active and inactive uranium mines and principal	
	uranium mining areas in Wyoming	2-12
2.8	Location of active and inactive uranium mines in the Gas Hills	
	and Crooks Gap-Green Mountain areas of central Wyoming	2-13
2.9	Location of active and inactive uranium mines in the Shirley	
	Basin, South Powder River Basin, and Pumpkin Buttes areas	
	of Wyoming.	2-14

	·	Page
3.1	The uranium decay series showing the half lives and mode of	
	decay	3-2
3.2	The thorium decay series showing the half lives and mode of	
	decay	3-3
3.3	Disposition of drainage water from active surface and under-	
	ground uranium mines	3-14
3.4	Location of mines, ore and waste storage areas and monitoring	
	stations at the Morton Ranch mine, South Powder River Basin,	
	Wyoming	3-37
3.5	Location of study areas, sampling stations and uranium mines,	
	Poison Canyon area, McKinley County, New Mexico	3-41
3.6-	Sample locations for radionuclides and select trace metals	
	in sediments, San Mateo mine, New Mexico	3-42
3.7	Potential sources of environmentals contamination from active	•
	open pit uranium mines	3-46
3.8	Storage pile configurations assumed at surface and underground	
	mines	3-48
3.9	Sketch of sub-basin, basin, and regional basin; showing orien-	
	tation of principal drainage courses, areas of drainage, and	
	location of mines	3-69
3.10	Average monthly flows for the Cheyenne River and Lance Creek	
	near Spencer, Wyoming, for the period 1948-1970	3-71
3.ÍI	Suspended sediment concentration to discharge, Salt Wells	
	Creek and Tributaries, Wyoming	3 - 75
3.12	Relation of discharge and specific conductance to time at	
	Salt Wells Creek, Green River Basin, Wyoming	3-76
3.13	Periods of no flow in Lance Creek and the Cheyenne River	
	near Riverton, Wyoming, for the period 1948-1978	3-82
3.14	Configuration of open pit model mines	3-105
3.15	Potential sources of environmental contamination from active	
	underground uranium mines	3-108

3.16	Sketch of sub-basin, basin, and regional basin showing	
	orientation of principal drainage courses, areas of drain-	
	age, and location of mines in the New Mexico model area	3-121
3.17	Average monthly flows for the period of record for the Rio	
	San Jose and the Rio Puerco in New Mexico	3-129
3.18	Periods of no flow in the Rio San Jose and Rio Puerco	3-131
3.19	Total flow volumes in one-day periods for floods of various	S
	recurrence intervals in the sub-basin and basins in New	
	Mexico	3-133
3.20	Total flow volumes in seven-day periods for floods of various.	÷
	recurrence intervals in the sub-basin and basins in New	
	Mexico	3-134
3.21	Principal streams and surface water sampling stations in the	
•	Churchrocksand@Gallupsameaszestess to the test to the second to the seco	3-145
3.22	Average depth_of exploratory drilling in the U.S. uranium (1998)	1.50
	industry from 1948 to present	3-188
3.23	Annual waste to ore ratios for surface mining of uranium	
	(1948, to, 1979)	3-209
3.24	Cross section of model inactive surface mine	3-214
3.25	Results of gamma exposure rate survey at the 1601 pit and	
	environs, Morton Ranch uranium mine, Converse County,	
	Wyoming	3-226
3.26	Waste to ore ratios for inactive underground uranium mines	
	from 1932 to 1977	3-228
3.27	Radon-222 concentrations in mine air discharged by natural	
	ventilation	3-236
3.28	Gamma radiation survey around an inactive underground uranium	
	mine in New Mexico	3-240
5.1	Potential airborne pathways in the vicinity of uranium	
	mines	5-17

Page

		Page
6.1	Average indoor radon-222 decay product measurements (in working levels) as a function of average radium-226 con-	
	centration in soil	6-47
6.2	Example of natural reclamation of drill sites	6-57
6.3	Inactive underground mine site	6-60
6.4	Example of active and inactive surface mining activities .	6-63
6.5	Mine wastes eroded by ephemeral streams in the Mesa Mon-	
0.5	tanosa area, New Mexico	6-64
6.6	Basal erosion of a uranium mine waste pile by an ephemeral	0-04
0.0	stream in the Mesa Montanosa area, New Mexico	6-65
6.7		0-03
. ,	Montanosa, New Mexico	6-66
6.8		0-00
V •0	from the Mesa Top mine, Mesa Montanosa, New Mexico,	. 6-66
6.9	• • • •	3 00 .
	at the Dog Incline uranium mine, Mesa Montanosa, New Mexico.	6-67
6.10	Recent erosion of unstabilized overburden piles at the	0 0.
	inactive Galen mine, Karnes County, Texas	6-68
6.11	Unstabilizied overburden piles and surface water erosion at	,
	the Galen mine, Karnes County, Texas	6-68
6.12	Aerial view of the Manka mine, Karnes County, Texas	6-70
	Overburden pile showing the weak vegetative cover and	
,	gullying associated with improper stabilization at the	
	Manka mine, Karnes County, Texas,	6-70
6.14	Inactive Hackney mine, Karnes County, Texas	6-72
Apper	ndix G	
	•	
G.1	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 1, related	
	waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates. Uravan	

G-3

Mineral Belt, Colorado

		Page
G.2	Sectional view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 2, related waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	Uravan Mineral Belt, Colorado	G-4
G.3	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 3, re-	
	lated waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	Uravan Mineral Belt, Colorado	G-6
G.4	Sectional view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 4,	
	related waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	Uravan Mineral Belt, Colorado	G-7
G.5	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 5, re-	
	lated waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	Uravan Mineral Belt, Colorado	G-8
G.6	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 6, re-	
	lated waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
٠.	Uravam Mineral Berty Colorado (G-9
G.7	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 7, re-	
	lated was terrock pilesy and surface gammas exposure rates pur well	
	Central City District, Colorado	G-11
G.8	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 8, re-	
	lated waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	Central City District, Colorado	.G . 12.
G.9	Plan view of inactive underground fluorspar uranium mine No.	
	9, related waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates,	
	near Jamestown, Colorado	G-13
G.10	Plan view of inactive underground uranium mine No. 10, related	
	waste rock piles, and surface gamma exposure rates, Central	
	City District, Colorado	G-15
G.11	Typical mine waste pile associated with a small- to medium-	
	sized inactive underground uranium mine, Uravan Mineral	
	Belt, Colorado	G-16
G.12	Side view of a typical underground uranium mine located on	
	the nim of a sandstone mesa lirayan Mineral Relt Colorado	G-16

			Page
G.13	Mine waste accumulations near the portal of a typical und	ier-	
	ground rim-type uranium mine, western Colorado	•	G-17
G.14	Mine waste dump associated with a typical rim-type under-	•	
	ground uranium mine, western Colorado	•	G-17
G.15	Movement of fluorspar-uranium mine wastes from a tailings	;	
	pile into a stream, Jamestown area, Colorado	•	G-19
G.16	1972 aerial photograph of the Galen and Pawelek open pit	• .	
	mines, Karnes County, Texas	•	G-27
G.17	1978 aerial photograph of the Galen and Pawelek open pit		
	mines, Karnes County, Texas	•	G-27
G.18	Results of gamma exposure rate survey at the 1601 pit and	i	
	environs, Morton Ranch uranium mine, Converse County, Wyo	oming	G-31
G.19	Location of sampling stations at the Morton Ranch mine, S	South	
	Powder River Basin, Wyoming Company Company Company Company	. •	G-33
G.20	Sample.locations for radionuclides and select trace metal	s in	
	sediments, San Mateo mine, New Mexico	•	G-34
Appei	ndix H		
H.1.	Wyoming model area sub-basin drainage system	•	H - 3
H.2	Model area stream cross section	•	H - 3
H.3	New Mexico model area sub-basin drainage system	•	Н-9
,			
Appe	ndix J		
J.1	Surface stream flow pattern within drainage area	•	J - 3
J.2	Conservation of mass relationship for resuspension model	•	J-13

TABLES

		Page
1.1	Domestic uranium production by state from 1948 to	
	January 1, 1979	1-3
1.2	Projected annual nuclear capacity (GWe) in the U.S.	1-6
1.3	Domestic uranium reserves by state as of January 1, 1979	1-7
1.4	The quantities of U ₃ 0 ₈ produced in 1978 by the various	
	mining methods	1-10
1.5	The predicted methods of mining ore reserves	1-10
1.6	Summary of current in situ leaching operations as of	
	January 1, 1978	1-21
1.7	Trace metal concentrations of recirculated acid and	
	alkaline lixiviants	1-25
1.8	Federal laws, regulations, and guides for uranium mining	1-32
1.9	Requirements: to: obtain rights: to: prospect, on explore &	٠.
	by federal, state and private lands of the second of the	1-37
1.10	Requirements to obtain rights to mine one by federal, .	
	state and private lands	1-39
1.11	Requirements for mining and environmental plans by	
	federal, state and private lands	1-41
1.12	State laws, regulations; and guides for uranium mining .	1-43
2.1	Type of U.S. uranium properties	2-3
2.2	The location and type of active uranium properties .	2-4"
2.3	The location and type of inactive uranium properties .	2-5
2.4	Cumulative ore production through January 1, 1979	2-15
3.1	Gamma-ray energy released by one gram of rock	3-4
3.2	Radionuclide content and dose equivalent rates from	
	common rocks and soil	3-4
3.3	Average dose equivalent rates due to terrestrial	
	radiation in western mining states	3-5
3.4	Radionuclide concentrations in surface and groundwater	
	in the vicinity of a proposed uranium project	3-7
3.5	Concentrations of selected elements in igneous and	
	sedimentary rocks	. 3-8

		Page
3.6	Concentrations of selected elements in surface water at	
	five locations in the vicinity of a proposed uranium	
	project	3-9
3.7	Concentrations of selected elements in groundwater at six	
-	locations in the vicinity of a proposed uranium project.	3-10
3.8	Estimated average concentrations of three metals in	
	U.S. streams	3-6
3.9	Summary of feed water sources for active U.S. uranium	
	mills	3-17
3.10	Current and projected uranium mine discharges in the	
	Grants Mineral Belt, New Mexico	3-21
3.11	Estimated surface areas associated with overburden piles	3-50
3.12	Particle size distributions of mill tailings and mine	
	overburdens of the second of t	3-52
3.13	Natural radionuclide concentrations in various common	
	rock types	3 . 52
3.14	Annual average airborne radionuclide concentrations	
	in the vicinity of an open pit uranium mine of a	3-53
3.15	Uranium and stable element concentrations measured in rock	
	and soil samples from two uranium mines	3-55
3.16	Concentration of radionuclides and stable elements in	
	overburden rock from the model surface mines	3-56
3 . 17	Estimated average areas of ore pile surface and pad .	3-57
3.18	Distribution of ore reserves by the type of host \cdot .	3-59
3.19	Average stable element concentrations in sandstone	
	ores of New Mexico	3-59
3.20	Estimated average surface areas of sub-ore piles during	
	the 17-year active mining period	3-61
3.21	Summary of average discharge and water quality data for	
	uranium mines in Wyoming and a comparison with NPDES	
	limits	3-65
3.22	Water quality associated with surface and underground	
	mines in various stages of construction and operation a	3-67

		rage
3.23	Peak discharge and total volume for floods of 2, 5, 10,	
	25, 50 and 100 year recurrence intervals	3-78
3.24	Summary of calculated total flow in the Wyoming model	
	area sub-basin using the USGS and SCS methods	3-79
3.25	Annual contaminant loading from one uranium mine and	
	resulting concentrations in floods within the sub-basin	
	for return periods of 2 to 100 years	3-84
3.26	Concentrations in basin and regional basin streams as a	
	result of surface mine discharge	3-86
3.27	Comparison of potable and irrigation water standards and	
	surface water quality affected by surface mine drainage	3-87
3.28	Northeastern Wyoming groundwater sources	3-91
3.29	Groundwater quality of wells sampled by the three major	
•	uranium.producers@inothedSouth@PowdercRiver.Basing@intotal	
	Wyoming of the product of the control of the contro	3-92.
3.30	Estimated air pollutant emissions from heavy-duty	
	equipment at surface mines	3-94
3.31	Average annual dust emissions from mining activities	3-97
3.32	Average annual emissions of radionuclides ($\mu\mbox{Ci})$ and stable	
	elements (kg) from vehicular dust at the model surface.	
	mines	3-100.
3.33	Average annual emissions of radionuclides ($\mu\mbox{Ci)}$ and stable	g k
,	elements (kg) from mining activities at the model surface	
	anines	3-101.
3.34	Average annual emissions of radionuclides (μ Ci) and stable	
	elements (kg) in wind suspended dust at the model surface	
	mines	3-102
3.35	Radon-222 releases during surface mining	3-107
3.36	Estimated average surface areas of waste rock piles at	
	underground mines	3-111
3.37	Estimated surface areas of ore stockpiles at underground	
	mines	3-111
	Estimated.average surface areas of sub-one pides at	
	underground mines	3-113

		Page
3.39	Summary of average discharge and water quality data for underground uranium mines in the Colorado Plateau Region (Colorado, New Mexico, Utah) and a comparison with NPDES	
	limits	3-116
3.40	Water quality associated with underground mines in various stages of construction and operation	3-119
3.41	Total flow volume for sub-basin floods-of 1-c and 7-day	
3.42	durations and return periods of 2, 5, 10, and 25 years . Summary of area, discharge, and irrigated acreage for	3-126
	the sub-basin, basin, and regional basin hydrographic units in New Mexico.	3-127
3.43	Dilution factors for the Rio San Jose, Rio Puerco, and Rio Grande for 1-day flood flows with a 2-year recurrence	
3.44	interval	3-135
	resulting concentrations in sub-basin floods and in the average annual flow of the Rio San Jose, Rio Puerco, and	
3 . 45.	Rio Grande	3-137
	and surface water quality affected by underground mine drainage	3-140
3.46	Radiochemical and stable element/compound water quality for selected acid and alkaline leach uranium mill tailings	
3.47	ponds in the United States	3-142
	quality	3-143
3.48	Flow and water quality in the Puerco River near Churchrock and Gallup, New Mexico	3-146
3.49	Groundwater quality in principal aquifers in the Grants	3-151
3.50	Mineral Belt, New Mexico	0-131
	Belt, New Mexico	3-154

		Page
3.51	Estimated annual radon-222 emissions from underground	
	uranium mining sources	3-158
3.52	Estimated air pollutant emissions from heavy-duty equipment	;
	at underground uranium mines	3-161
3.53	Estimated average annual dust emissions from underground	
	mining activities	3-163
3.54	Average annual emissions of radionuclides (μ Ci) and \cdots	
	stable elements (kg) from mining activities at the	
	model underground mines	3-165
3.55	Average annual emissions of radionuclides (μ Ci) and ν and ν	
	stable elements (kg) in wind suspended dust at the model	•
2 - 1,	equinderground mines equipment of the control of th	3-166
3.56	"Average annual emissions of radionuclides (μ Ci) and stable	•
	elements: (kg) from vehicular dust hat the model underground	25 C 1 1
	mines:	3167
3.57	Estimated quantities of wastewater produced by an in situ	•
,	leaching operation	3-173
3., 58	Estimated average concentrations and annual accumulation	
	of some contaminants in waste water	3-175
3.59	Estimated average annual airborne emissions from the	
	hypothetical in situ leaching facility	3-176
3,60	Estimated average concentrations and annual and total	
1.	accumulations of some contaminants in restoration waste-	
	water	3-181
3.61	A comparison of contaminant concentrations in pre-mining	
	groundwater and pre-restoration mine water	3-182
3.62	Estimates of exploratory and development drill holes	
	(1948-1979)	3-189
3.63	Estimated source terms per bore hole for contemporary	
	surface drilling for uranium	3-195
3.64	Airborne dusts produced at an average mine site from	

3-196

Airborne dusts produced at an average mine site from

exploratory and development drilling

			Page
	3.65	Estimates of emissions from drill rig diesel power	
		source	3-196
	3.66	Sediment yields in overland flow from uranium mining	
•		areas	3-202
	3.67	Consolidated list of inactive uranium producers by State	
		and type of mining	3-206
	3.68	Uranium mine waste and ore production	3-207
	3.69	Cumulative uranium mine waste and ore production	3-211
	3. 70	Average annual emissions of radionuclides (u.Ci) and stable	× • .
		elements (kg) in wind suspended dust at the model inactive	
		surface mine	3-217
	3.71	Average radon flux of inactive uranium mill tailings	
W	7.3	piles.	3-218
1, 1, 7, 1	3.72	Average radon flux measured at inactive uranium mine of the	
		sites	3-220
• .	3.73	Background radon flux estimates	3-221
	3.74	Summary of estimated radon-222 releases from inactive	
		surface mines	3-223
	3.75	Summary of land surface gamma radiation surveys in New	
		Mexico, Texas, and Wyoming	3-225
	3.76	Average annual emissions of radionuclides (μ Ci) and	
		stable elements (kg) in wind suspended dust at the model	
		inactive underground mine	3-231
	3.77	Summary of radon-222 releases from inactive underground	
-		mines	3-238
	3.78	Summary of land surface gamma radiation surveys in	
		Colorado and New Mexico	3-239
	5.1	Number of uranium mines and population statistics for	
		counties containing uranium mines	5-3
	5.2	Population statistics for humans and beef cattle	5-12
	5.3	Aquatic environmental transport pathways initially	
		considered	5-14

6.1	Annual release rates (Ci) used in the dose equivalent	
	and health effects computations for active uranium	
	mines 6-	2
6.2	Annual release rates (Ci) used in the dose equivalent	
	and health effects computations for inactive uranium	
	mines	4.
6.3	Annual working level exposure from radon-222 emissions	
	from model uranium mines 6-	6
6.4	.Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a model average	
	surface uranium mine 6-	7
6.5	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a model average	
	large surface uranium mines see 1 6-	8
6.6	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio- 😘	
	uctiverparticulaterandsRn=222femisstonssfromaarmodelsaveragers.	. ·
	underground uranium mine 6-	9
6.7	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	,
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a model average	
	large underground uranium mine 6-	10.
6.8.	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a model inactive	
	surface uranium mine 6-	11
6.9	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a model inactive	
	•	12
6.10	Annual radiation dose equivalents due to atmospheric radio-	
	active particulate and Rn-222 emissions from a hypothetical	
	•	13
6.11	Individual lifetime fatal cancer risk for one year of	
	exposure and estimated additional fatal cancers to the	
	regional population due to annual radioactive airborne	
	·	15

6.12	Individual lifetime fatal cancer risk due to lifetime
	exposure to radioactive airborne emissions from model
	uranium mines 6-16
6.13	Genetic effect risk to descendants for one year of parental
	exposure to atmospheric radioactive airborne emissions from
	model uranium mines 6-17
6.14	Genetic effect risk to descendants forma 30-year parental 🦟
	exposure to atmospheric radioactive airborne emissions
	from model uranium mines 6-18
6.15	Percent of the fatal cancer risk for the maximum individual
	due to the sources of radioactive emissions at model ura-
	nium mines 6-20
6.16	Percent of the fatal cancer risk for the average individual
	in the regional population due to the sources of radioactive
	emissions at model uranium mines 6-21
6.17	Percent of fatal cancer risks due to radon-222 daughter con-
	centrations at model uranium mine sites 6-22
6.18	Percent of the fatal cancer risk for principal nuclides and
	pathways due to radioactive particulate and Rn-222 emissions
	at model uranium mines 6-23
6.19	Natural background concentrations and average urban concen-
	trations of selected airborne pollutants in the United
,	States 6-27
6.20	Combustion product concentrations at the site of the maximum
	individual with comparisons 6-29
6.21	A comparison of the airborne concentrations of nonradioactive
	gases at the hypothetical in situ leach site with threshold
	limit values 6-30
6.22	Stable trace metal airborne concentrations at the site of
	the maximum individual 6-32
6.23	Comparison of stable trace metal airborne concentrations
	at the location of the maximum individual with natural
	background_concentrations and average urban concentrations
	of these airborne nollutants 6-33

6.24	Comparison of trace metal airborne concentrations at the	
	site of the maximum individual with threshold limit values	
	(TLV's) in the workroom environment adjusted for continuous	
	exposure to the general public	6-34
6.25	Annual radiation dose equivalent rates due to aquatic	
	releases from the New Mexico model underground mine .	6-36
6.26	Annual radiation dose equivalent rates due to aquatic	
	releases from the Wyoming model surface mine 6	6-37
6.27	Individual lifetime fatal cancer risk and committed fatal	
	cancers to the population residing within the assessment	
	areas	6-38
6.28	Genetic risks to succeeding generations of an individual	
	and committed genetic effects to descendants of the present	
	population residing within the assessment area of the contraction of t	5-41
6.29	Comparison of nonradiological waterborne emissions from the	. •.
	uranium mines with recommended agricultural water quality.	
	limits	6-43
6.30	Estimated_lifetime:risk of fataledung cancerate individuals	
	living in homes built on land contaminated by uranium mine	
	wastes	6-45
6.31	Gamma radiation anomalies and causes	6-49
7.1	Distribution of United States uranium mines by type of 👉 🕟	
	mine and state	7-2
7.2	Sources of contaminants at uranium mines	7-3
7.3	Concentration of contaminants in waste rock (overburden),	
	ore, and sub-ore	7-6
7.4	Summary of harm from radioactive airborne emissions of	
	model uranium mines :	7-11
7.5	Percent additional lifetime fatal cancer risk for a lifetime	
	exposure to the individual and the percent additional cancer	
	deaths in the regional population per year of exposure esti-	
~		7-12

7.6	Summary of the fatal cancer risks caused by radioactive	
	aqueous emissions from model uranium mines	7-14
7.7	Estimated lifetime risk of fatal lung cancer to the average	
	person living in a home built on land contaminated by urani	um
	mine wastes	7-16
7.8	Summary of contaminant loading and stream water quality from	n
	a model surface uranium mine	7-20
7.9	Summary of contaminant loading and stream water quality	
	from a model underground urantum mine	7-21
A	.	
Appendi		
A.1	Federal laws, regulations, and guides for uranium mining	A-1
Appendi	ix Division	
0.1	State laws, regulations, and guides for uranium mining	D-1
<u>Appendi</u>	ix_E	
E.1.	Active uranium mines of nother United States - S	E-1.
A		
Appendi		
F.1	Inactive uranium mines in the United States	F-1
Appendi	<u>x_G</u>	
G.1	Uravan and Jamestown areas	G-14
G.2	Inactive uranium mine sites surveyed in New Mexico	G-21
G.3	Status and location of uranium mines in Texas	G-25
G.4	Trace elements and radionuclides in water in the south	
	fork of Box Creek drainage at UNC Morton Ranch lease .	G-35
G.5	Radionuclides and trace metals in sediments in the south	
	fork of Box Creek at UNC Morton Ranch lease	G-36
G.6	Radionuclides and trace metals in soils near the 1601	
	open pit mine, UNC Morton Ranch lease, Wyoming	G-37

	·	Page
G.7	Radionuclides and trace metals in soil profiles at the	
	open pit mines, UNC Morton Ranch lease, Wyoming	G-38
G.8	Radionuclides and trace metals in sediments from the	
	drainage of the San Mateo mine and from San Mateo	
	Creek, New Mexico	G-40
G.9	Radium-226 and trace elements in water from San Mateo	
	Creek near San Mateo mine discharge point	G-40
Appendix	<u>Н</u>	
H.1	Characteristics of the sub-basin containing the model	14 - 65 - Z
	mines	H - 2
H. 2"	Seepage and outflow calculations for the Wyoming model	
	mine drainage system	H - 6
H.3	Characteristics: of the sub-basing hydrographic unitaring the	3 ∞20 ×20
	model-underground uran jumpmine areas area area area area.	H-8%
4.4	Seepage and outflow calculations for the New Mexico	
	model mine area drainage system	H - 11
Appendix	J	
J.1	Aquatic environmental transport pathways examined .	J-6
1.2	Characteristics of the generic sites	J-20
د. ل	Stream data for Valencia County	23
J.4	Estimation of meat production in Valencia County for	. ,
•	1977	J - 25
J.5	Estimates of meat production in Converse County,	5 25
	Wyoming for 1976	J-26
J.6	Annual radionuclide release rates to streams for active	0 20
	uranium mines	J-29
J.7	Freshwater fish concentration factors	J-29
J.8	Normalized human intake rate factors for radionuclide	5 25
	uptake via plant root systems	J-31
	Irrigated land usage	J-31

		Page
J.10	Soil removal rate constants and radioactive decay	
	constants	J-33
J.11	Milk and beef concentration factors	J-33
J.12	Dose equivalent conversion factors	J - 36
J.13	Health effects conversion factors for internal pathways.	J-37
J.14	Health effects conversion factors for external pathways.	J-37
Annondiw	. v	
Appendix K.1	Characteristics of the generic sites	K-1
K.2	Animal and vegetable crop distribution for use with	V-1
N. Z	AIRDOS-EPA	K - 3
K.3	Sources of food for the maximum individual (percent) .	K-4
K.4	Selected input parameters to AIRDOS-EPA	K-5
K.5	· · · ·	· K=7
K.6	Effective radioactive decay constants	K-8
, N. O	Effective radioactive decay constants	K-0
Appendix	<u>: L</u>	
L.1	Radionuclide dose rate and health effect risk conversion.	٠,
	factors used in uranium mine assessments	L-4
L.2	Additional input data used by DARTAB in the health im-	
	pact assessment of airborne emissions	L-21
L.3	Example input data file for DARTAB	L-22
L.4'	Maximum individual fatal cancer risk for one year of ex-	
	posure to atmospheric radioactive emissions from model	
	uranium mines	L-23
L.5	Fatal cancer risk to an average individual in the regional	
	population for one year of exposure to atmospheric radio-	
	active emissions from model uranium mines	L-24
L.6	Fatal cancer risk to the population for one year of ex-	
	posure to atmospheric radioactive emissions from model	
	uranium mines	L-25

L.7	Genetic effect risk to descendants of maximum exposed	
	individual for one year of parental exposure to atmo-	
	spheric radioactive particulate and Rn-222 emissions from	
	model uranium mines L-	26
L.8	Genetic effect risk to descendants of average individual	
	of the population for one year of parental exposure to	
	atmospheric radioactive particulate and Rn-222 emissions	
	from model uranium mines L-	27
L.9	Genetic effect risk to descendants of the regional popu-	
	lation for one year of parental exposure to atmospheric	
	radioactive particulates and Rn-222 emissions from model	
	uranium mines ···· L-	28

Page

FOREWORD

The Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 stipulates that "...the Administrator, in consultation with the Commission, shall provide to the Congress a report which identifies the location and potential health, safety, and environmental hazards of uranium mine wastes together with recommendations, if any, for a program to eliminate these hazards." It is our understanding that the intent of Congress was to determine if remedial actions similar to those for uranium mill tailings are required for mine wastes.

The report was prepared by the Office of Radiation Programs and addresses potential health effects caused by air emissions, water effluents, and solid wastes at active and inactive uranium mines. It is probably the single most comprehensive report on the subject. The effects from other mining activities such as exploration, site preparation, and in situ leaching were evaluated in proportion to their potential significance and the amount of available information about them. Comments on this report from the uranium mining industry, States, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission have also been considered.

The conclusions and recommendations are in the Executive Summary and Chapter 7 of the report. The principal findings of this report are as follows:

- 1. No problems were identified that require Congressional action.
- 2. Standards are probably needed to control human exposure from radioactive emissions from underground uranium mines. We have proposed a standard for underground uranium mines under the Clean Air Act program to develop radionuclide standards.
- 3. Regulations should be considered for maintaining the control of solid wastes at active uranium mines to prevent off-site use and to minimize the health risks from these materials. This is part of the overall agency consideration of mining wastes and is being carried out under the auspices of the Solid Waste Disposal Act.
- 4. The report also identifies additional studies that are needed to completely elucidate the potential for local adverse effects as a result of possible misuse of the mine waste materials in construction of buildings. Preliminary reports of field studies by EPA identifying possible sites at which mine wastes may have been utilized in construction or around buildings have been sent to the States for follow-up studies.

Glen L. Sjoblom, Director
Office of Radiation Programs

Glen L Sjoblem

ACK NOWL EDGEME NTS

The following persons of the Office of Radiation Programs made substantial contributions to this report.

R. L. Blanchard, EERF, Montgomery, AL*

- G. G. Eadie, ORP-Las Vegas, NV*
- T. W. Fowler, EERF, Montgomery, AL
- M. M. Gottlieb, TAD, Washington, DC D. Nelson, CSD, Washington, DC
- J. M. Hans, Jr., ORP-Las Vegas, NV*
- T. R. Horton, EERF, Montgomery, AL
- T. L. Hurst, ORP-Las Vegas, NV
- R. F. Kaufmann, ORP-Las Vegas, NV*

- P. J. Magno, CSD, Washington, DC
- J. M. Moore, ORP-Las Vegas, NV
- M. F. O'Connell, ORP-Las Vegas, NV
- C. M. Petko, EERF, Montgomery, AL
- J. E. Regnier, CSD, Washington, DC
- J. S. Silhanek, CSD, Washington, DC
- J. M. Smith, EERF, Montgomery, AL

The following persons provided data and information used in this report.

- L. V. Beal, U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, NM.
- R. Beckman, U.S. Dept. of Labor, Denver, CO
- J. D. Borland, U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, NM
- T. Buehl, New Mexico Environmental Protection Agency, Santas Fe. NM.
- T. Bullock, Utah Industrial Commission, Salt Lake City, UT
- A. J. Carroll, U.S. Dept. of Interior, Denver, CO
- T. Chung, U.S. Dept. of Energy, Grand Junction, CO
- L. M. Cook, Texas Dept. of Health, Austin, TX
- J. T. Dale, USEPA, Region VIII, Denver, CO
- J. G. Dudley, NM Environmental Improvement Div., Santa Fe, NM
- W. H. Engelmann, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Twin Cities, MI
- J. R. Giedt, USEPA, Region VIII, Denver, CO
- A. L. Hornbaker, Colorado Geological Survey, Denver, CO
- S. J. Hubbard, IERL, USEPA, Cincinnati, OH
- R. G. Kirby, USEPA, Effluent Guidelines Division, Washington, DC

^{*} Principal Authors :

- J. L. Kunkler, U.S. Geological Survey, Santa Fe, NM
- H. W. Lowham, U.S. Geological Survey, Cheyenne, WY
- H. D. May, USEPA, Region VI, Dallas, TX
- R. Meehan, U.S. Dept. of Energy, Grand Junction, CO
- A. T. Mullins, TVA, Chattanooga, TN
- G. E. Niewiadomski, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, DC
- B. L. Perkins, New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Santa Fe, NM
- C. R. Phillips, USEPA, EERF, Montgomery, AL
- H. G. Plimpton, MSHA, Salt Lake City, UT
- T. J. Price, Bendix Field Engineering Corp., Grand Junction, CO
- J. G. Rankl, U.S. Geological Survey, Cheyenne, WY
- R. F. Reed, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, DC
- G. C. Ritter, Bendix Field Engineering Corp., Grand Junction, CO
- P. B. Smith, USEPA, Region VIII, Denver, CO
- J. P. Stone, U.S. Dept. of Interior, Washington, DC
- A. B. Tanner, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.
- S. Waligora, Eberline Inst. Co., Albuquerque, NM
- R. E. Walline, USEPA, Region VIII, Denver, CO
- T. Willingham, USEPA, Region VIII, Denver, CO
- T. Wolff, New Mexico Environmental Protection Agency, Santa Fe, NM
- A. F. Wright, (formerly) U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, NM

The authors express their appreciation to Mrs. Winnifred Schupp, ORP-Las Vegas, NV, and Mrs. Annette Fannin, EERF, Montgomery, AL, for typing the report and to Mrs. Edith Boyd, ORP-Las Vegas, NV, for pre-liminary editing of the report.